QUANTITATIVE X-RAY SPECTRAL MODELING OF THE CANONICAL O SUPERGIANT WIND SHOCK SOURCE  $\zeta$  PUP: MASS-LOSS RATE AND WIND STRUCTURE

### 1 Introduction

The archival (AO1) Chandra HETGS spectrum of the O supergiant  $\zeta$  Pup (68 ks exposure) is one of the richest and most scientifically interesting grating spectra produced in the ten year lifetime of the Great Observatory. The high-resolution, relatively high-signal-to-noise spectrum shows resolved line profiles, whose shapes have been profitably analyzed for the purpose of constraining the standard line-driven instability theory of wind-shock X-ray emission, providing information about the hot plasma's distribution and kinematics. And as an unexpected benefit of this quantitative line profile analysis, it has been shown that the mass-loss rate of this star has likely been overestimated by a factor of four.

There are several open questions and controversies regarding the interpretation of the X-ray emission from  $\zeta$  Pup involving the wind-shock mechanism, the constraints on the spatial and velocity distribution of the shock-heated plasma, and, crucially, about the implications for this star's - and all OB stars' - mass-loss rates. A new, 210 ks long HETGS observation can answer the following related questions: (1) Must the mass-loss rate estimate be revised significantly downward or could large-scale wind clumping explain the surprisingly symmetric line-profile shapes? And (2) is there evidence for different wind optical depths in emission lines at different wavelengths? This second issue also addresses whether mass-loss rate estimates must be lowered for OB stars. In addition to these two related goals, the proposed very high signal-tonoise HETGS spectrum can address the controversy over the location of the hot, X-ray emitting plasma by providing tighter constraints on the f/i ratio of S XV; and it will provide the most sensitive test for spectral variability in the X-ray emission from a normal O star as well as enabling us to constrain models of resonance scattering in strong emission lines.

# 2 Line profiles, clumping, porosity, and mass-loss rate reduction

The accepted mass-loss rates of O stars have recently come into question, with corrections to density-squared emission diagnostics due to clumping lowering these values by factors of a few. Far-UV measurements of P V (Fullerton) and detailed modeling of UV spectra (Bouret et al.) indicate that mass-loss rates have to be revised downward. If confirmed, this downward revision in O star mass-loss rates would have very significant consequences for stellar evolution, SNe and GRB progenitors, the energetics of the galactic ISM, and for our understanding of these radiation-driven winds themselves. By analyzing X-ray emission line profiles, we have shown (thus far, for  $\zeta$  Pup and  $\zeta$  Ori) that the relatively modest asymmetry and blue-shifts provide independent evidence for lower massloss rates. In fact, our initial results on  $\zeta$  Pup (Kramer et al. 2003; Potsdam) show that a factor of 4 reduction in this star's mass-loss rate is required to explain the X-ray line profiles. This is in very good agreement with recent detailed UV spectral modeling of this star (Bouret et al., Kauai poster).

Briefly, the effect of the mass-loss rate on the X-ray profiles comes about because the profile shape is affected by continuum opacity from the cool, X-ray absorbing wind material. Because the red-shifted portion of an X-ray emission line comes from the far side of a spherically symmetric, expanding wind, continuum absorption preferrentially affects it, compared to the blue side of the profile. The overall effect is to shift and skew the profiles in proportion to the optical depth of the wind. Quantitative fitting of the X-ray profiles puts constraints on the optical depth, and if the opacity can be estimated, then the column density, and, ultimately, the massloss rate can be determined. This work is one of the major quantitative results of Chandra's legacy for massive stars.




Figure 1: A Fe XVII emission line in the archival Chandra MEG spectrum of  $\zeta$  Pup, shown along with three models. The vertical lines represent the line's rest wavelength and the Doppler shifts associated with the wind terminal velocity. The solid histogram is the best-fit non-porous model, from which we derive a low optical depth, implying a mass-loss rate four times below the literature value. If we allow for porosity, assuming spherical clumps, then we can fit this line with the higher, literature mass-loss rate, but the best-fit model (dash-dot histogram) requires a large interclump spacing of 3.3 R<sub>\*</sub> (see Fig. 2). The dashed histogram represents the bestfit porous model with flattened (oblate) clumps instead of spherical ones. Here the interclump spacing is even higher, and the fit is statistically ruled out.

It has been suggested, however, that the effective reduction in optical depth that the existing Chandra HETGS datasets imply could really be evidence not of reduced mass-loss rates but rather of reduced effective opacity due to the porosity associated with large-scale clumping (OFH). By fitting quantitative profile models that include the effects of both atomic opacity (OC2001) and porosity (OC2006), we have shown that in order to explain the profiles seen in the Chandra spectrum of  $\zeta$  Pup, very large (possibly unrealistic) interclump spacings ("porosity lengths," in our parlance) are required (OC2006; Potsdam). In this work, we have also shown that there is a slight statistical preference for reduced




Figure 2: The 68%, 90%, and 95% joint confidence limits on the wind optical depth and the porosity length (interclump spacing) for the fits to the Fe XVII emission line shown in the previous figure. These confidence limits show (a) that the low mass-loss rate model is preferred over the porous model at > 95% confidence, and (b) that porosity lengths,  $h_{\infty}$ , of at least 2.5 R<sub>\*</sub> are required (see the horizontal error bar centered on the best-fit porous model point at  $\tau_* = 8$  and  $h_{\infty} = 3.3$ ).

mass-loss rates rather than porosity for explaining the detailed profile shapes (see Figs. 1) and 2). Both effects lead to less shifted and more symmetric line profiles, but the specific profile shapes are somewhat different, with porosity leading to profiles that are flatter near line center and have more emission on the extreme blue wings. These differences can be subtle, at least for spherical clumps, but statistical model fitting of data is the only way to quantify the relative effects of mass-loss rate reduction and porosity, and to determine how much porosity is required to mimic a given mass-loss rate reduction.

Formally, the non-porous model is preferred at  $\approx 99\%$  level for the Fe XVII line at 15.014 Å in the archival data. However, the porous model fit is not formally bad (it is just not as good as the non-porous model fit). Of course, there are assumptions in the two models, that if relaxed, could easily lead to this statistical difference disappearing. Therefore, we are requesting an additional 210 ks of HETGS data in order to significantly improve the constraints on these two

families of line-profile models. Even if no single emission line can be shown to aboslutely rule out all porous models, our quantitative analysis of the ensemble of lines in the HETGS spectrum can put much tighter constraints on the relative ability of porous models vs. lower mass-loss rate models to explain the profiles.




Figure 3: Model of the radius-dependent X-ray opacity in the wind of  $\zeta$  Pup computed with XCMFGEN.

Another argument employed by the proponents of porosity as the explanation for the profile shapes is that no trend with wavelength from line to line is obvious in the data (OFH2006). This would argue for porosity, as the optically thick clumps that cause a wind to be porous would lead to an effective opacity that is proportional to their physical clump cross section, which will, of course, be independent of wavelength. In contrast, atomic opacity should be rather wavelength dependent. We have argued, however, that the wavelength dependence of the atomic opacity in the spectral region between roughly 10 and 20 Å is grayer than OFH have supposed (Potsdam; and see Fig. 4 in Cohen et al. 1996 and Fig. 2 in Waldron et al. 1998), although it is not absolutely gray. In Fig. 3 we show a detailed model of the wind opacity of  $\zeta$ Pup, calculated with XCMFGEN, for several different locations in the wind.

Determining whether the optical depth of the strong lines in the HETGS spectrum really is consistent with a single value of optical depth (gray opacity) is critical to evaluating the claims that porosity can explain the X-ray profile shapes. Initial indications are that a single optical depth value can explain morphology of the strongest lines in the spectrum at roughly the 90% confidence level (see Fig 3c in Kramer et al. 2003). Here too, an additional 210 ks of HETGS exposure will likely be able to settle the issue. Our simulations of the proposed combined 210 + 68 ks exposure shows that differences in line optical depth of roughly 50% can be identified via line profile fitting. This is the level of variation expected from realistic models of the wind opacity of  $\zeta$  Pup over the relevant wavelength range. Specifically, in Fig. 4 we show simulations for two lines that are expected to have optical depths that differ by a factor of two. We have fit these simulated line profile with our empirical wind profile model (OC2001) as implemented in XSPEC. The best-fit values of the fiducial optical depth and their 68% confidence limits are shown on the plots. These simulations show that with the proposed additional 210 ks of data, we will be able to differentiate these two optical depth values at a statistically significant level.

## 3 Bonus Science

In OB stars, the forbidden-to-intercombination line ratios of helium-like ions are sensitive to the local UV radiation field mean intensity, and thus to the distance from the photosphere (if the photospheric emergent flux at the relevant wavelength is known). This diagnostic has proved to be very useful in differentiating coronal models (X-ray emitting plasma right near the photosphere) from wind shock models (X-ray emitting plasma well above the photosphere). For lower Z elements (typically Ne IX, Mg XI, and Si XIII) the results are conclusive: the hot plasma is distributed in the wind, well above the photosphere, as is expected in the wind shock scenario. There is some lingering controversy regarding the higher Z elements (from hotter plasma, with  $T > 10^7$  K). The S XV complex in the HETGS spectrum of  $\zeta$  Pup is a key piece of data in this controversy (note that this complex has only 85 MEG counts in the archival 68 ks dataset). Cassinelli et al. (2001) argued that the location of the helium-like S XV must be within a few tenths of a stellar radius of the star's photosphere, thus posing a very serious challenge to the wind shock scenario that seems to explain much of the rest of the X-ray data for this star, although other analyses show that this complex is formed at a location consistent with the standard wind-shock scenario (Leutenegger et al. 2006). Our simulations of the proposed 210 ks HETGS expsoure indicate that these scenarios can be discriminated at better than the 90% confidence level if the S/N is doubled, as we are proposing.

Low-level variability has been detected in ROSAT observations of  $\zeta$  Pup (Berghoefer). X-ray variability is generally not seen in normal O stars. Our proposed observation will enable us to test for variability in individual lines as well as analyzing overall spectral variability. This will provide clues as to whether the changes are in the emission mechanism or in the degree of absorption by the overlying wind.

Finally, resonance scattering has been shown to be affecting the N and O lines in the XMM RGS spectrum of  $\zeta$  Pup (Leutenegger et al. 2007). The observation we are proposing here will allow us to search for subtler signals of resonance scattering in lines from less abundant elements. Modeling this effect can help us determine the column density in post-shock cooling zones, which can provide information about the spatial structure in these shock-heated winds.

# 4 Feasibility and Analysis Plan

The beautiful HETGS spectrum of  $\zeta$  Pup, rich with diagnostics and implications for understanding X-ray emission from hot stars, is one of the major legacies of the Chandra mission. Doubling the signal to noise of the spectrum via the new observation we are proposing here will significantly enhance this legacy. This source is one of the very few for which resolved line profiles provide quantitative diagnostics of key theoretical predictions. Broad spectral lines require a very high quality spectrum if quantitative modeling is to be done profitably, as the counts in

each line are spread out over many bins. We have performed simulations using the appropriate response matrices and auxilliary response files, and then performed various model fitting tests of the simulated data. As we have shown, the simulated data from the proposed observation will enable us to differentiate wind optical depth variations from line to line of less than a factor of two, as well as provide tighter constraints on the trade-off between porosity and atomic opacity when we analyze individual lines. In terms of the f/i diagnostics, increasing the total counts in the S XV complex, for example, to more than 300 will enable us to put some meaningful constraints on the location of the hottest plasma on this O star. Because high spectral resolution is required for much of the science we are proposing, and because the shortest wavelength lines, which are subject to relatively little wind absorption, are crucial for this science, Chandra, rather than XMM, is the ideal instrument to carry out these observations.

Our research team has extensive experience in X-ray spectral analysis, including quantitative statistical fitting of high-resolution Chandra spectra. We have also developed empirical models of wind-shock X-ray emission and line profiles, as well as performing numerical simulations of OB star winds. The Pittsburgh group has extensive experience in detailed atomic and spectral modeling of extended atmospheres, including the effects of X-rays on the bulk, clumped winds of O stars. The detailed wind opacity models that they will make for  $\zeta$  Pup will be key in our physical interpretations of the new X-ray spectrum.

#### 5 References




Figure 4: Simulated data for the Fe XVII line at 15.014 Å (top) and Mg XII Ly-alpha at 8.421 Å (bottom). The simulations assumed a fiducial wind optical depth of  $\tau_* = 2$  for the Fe XVII line and  $\tau_* = 1$  for the Mg XII line (note the larger shift and asymmetry in the higher  $\tau_*$  line). When we fit these simulated data with our wind profile model, we derive optical depths of  $\tau_* =$  $1.9 \pm 0.2$  and  $\tau_* = 1.1 \pm 0.3$ , respectively. Thus the proposed 210 ks exposure will enable us to determine if the wind opacity truly is gray, as would be expected in a porosity-dominated wind. If the expected wavelength dependence is instead seen, as in these simulations, then a significant mass-loss rate reduction would be the obvious interpretation.