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Magnetic massive stars have distinct and universal X-ray properties: **High X-ray luminosities** and **high temperatures** due to channeling (strong shocks) and confinement (high density, or efficiency)
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Magnetic massive stars have distinct and universal X-ray properties: **High X-ray luminosities** and **high temperatures** due to channeling (strong shocks) and confinement (high density, or efficiency)

\[ L_X = 1.0 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg/s} \]

\[ L_X = 10^{-6} L_{\text{Bol}} \]
**Chandra HETGS**

θ¹ Ori C: hotter plasma, narrower emission lines

ζ Pup (O4 I): cooler plasma, broad emission lines
H-like/He-like ratio is temperature sensitive
Differential emission measure
(temperature distribution)

θ¹ Ori C:
peak near 30 million K

Non-magnetic O stars,
peak at a few million K

Wojdowski & Schulz (2005)
Differential emission measure
(temporary distribution)

MHD simulation of $\theta^1$ Ori C reproduces the observed differential emission measure
Dipole magnetic field (> 1 kG) measured on θ¹ Ori C

$i \sim 45^\circ$, $\beta \sim 45^\circ$

$\chi^2 = 1.0$

Wade et al. (2006)
MHD simulations of magnetically channeled wind

temperature

emission measure

simulations by A. ud-Doula; Gagné et al. (2005)

Channeled collision is close to head-on – at 1000+ km s\(^{-1}\) : \(T = 10^7 + K\)
MHD simulation of θ¹ Ori C reproduces the observed differential emission measure
Inclination, $i \sim 45$ deg; magnetic obliquity, $\beta \sim 45$ deg

*so we see a full range of viewing angles with respect to the magnetic field over the course of a rotation period*
**Chandra** broadband count rate vs. rotational phase

Model from MHD simulation
Chandra broadband count rate vs. rotational phase

Eclipse depth depends on distance of X-ray magnetosphere from the star

Model from MHD simulation
Chandra broadband count rate vs. rotational phase

Eclipse depth depends on distance of X-ray magnetosphere from the star

Data: \( r \sim 1.5 \, R_\star \)
Model: \( r \sim 2 \, R_\star \)

Model from MHD simulation
Unified picture

B-field

wind absorption

X-rays

H-alpha

Fig. 4.—Phase-folded light curves of θ Ori C. Top: Open circles indicate the excess C IV equivalent width (left axis) taken from Walborn & Nichols (1994) and phased to the ephemeris of Stahl et al. (1996); period $P = 15.422$ days and epoch MJD$_0 = 48832.50$. Maximum C IV absorption occurs near phase 0.5 ($\alpha = 3^\circ$) as a result of outflowing plasma in the magnetic equatorial plane. Note that Walborn & Nichols (1994) calculate $W_1$ by subtracting the IUE spectrum at a given phase from the IUE spectrum with the shallowest line profile, then calculating the equivalent width of the line in the difference spectrum. Filled circles show the longitudinal magnetic field strength, $B_\theta$ (right axis), as measured by Donati et al. (2002) using the same ephemeris. Note that Walborn & Nichols (1994) and Donati et al. (2002) used different period estimates. The $B_\theta$ is maximum near phase 0.0 when the magnetic pole is in the line of sight. Bottom: Hα equivalent width (solid curve) from Stahl et al. (1996). The data points with error bars represent the ACIS-I count rate from the 850 ks Chandra Orion Ultra-Deep Project. X-ray and Hα maxima occur at low viewing angles when the entire X-ray torus is visible; the minima occur when part of the X-ray torus is occulted by the star.
Additional constraints from the high-resolution X-ray spectra
Emission measure

Contour encloses $T > 10^6 \text{ K}$
MHD simulations show multi-$10^6$ K plasma, moving slowly, $\sim 1 R_\star$ above photosphere.

contour encloses $T > 10^6$ K
Helium-like ions (e.g. O$^+\text{6}$, Ne$^+\text{8}$, Mg$^+\text{10}$, Si$^+\text{12}$, S$^+\text{14}$) – schematic energy level diagram

10-20 eV

\begin{align*}
1s2s^3S & \quad 1s2p^3P & \quad 1s2p^1P & \quad \text{resonance (r)} \\
& \quad \text{forbidden (f)} & \quad \text{intercombination (i)} & \quad \text{g.s. } 1s^2^1S
\end{align*}
The $f/i$ ratio is thus a diagnostic of the strength of the local UV radiation field.

- **UV**
- **forbidden (f)**
- **resonance (r)**
- **intercombination (i)**
- **g.s. $1s^2 \ 1S$**
- **$1s2p \ 1P$**
- **$1s2p \ 3P$**
- **$1s2s \ 3S$**
If you know the UV intensity emitted from the star’s surface, it thus becomes a diagnostic of the distance that the x-ray emitting plasma is from the star’s surface.
$R_{\text{fr}} = 1.2 ~ R_*$

$R_{\text{fr}} = 2.1 ~ R_*$

$R_{\text{fr}} = 4.0 ~ R_*$
X-ray lightcurve and f/i ratios both indicate X-ray plasma is just a few tenths $R_*$ from the photosphere.

MHD simulations are generally in agreement, but the bulk of the X-ray plasma is a bit further from the photosphere (height ~ 1 $R_*$).
X-ray emission lines are quite narrow

Not shown: \( \zeta \) Pup lines 2 – 3 times as broad

Fig. 8.—Ne Ly\( \alpha \) line in the combined Chandra MEG spectrum from all four observations of \( \theta^1 \) Ori C (solid histogram) compared to the same line seen in the MEG spectrum of the active young K-type dwarf, AB Doradus (dash-dotted histogram). A delta function convolved with the MEG instrumental response (dashed line) is also shown for comparison. The \( \theta^1 \) Ori C line is clearly broader than both the narrow line or the AB Dor line.
Fig. 9.—Line widths for the strongest lines in the Chandra spectra plotted against the temperature of peak line emissivity, taken from APED. The open circles represent the Doppler width as measured by SHERPA. The filled diamonds represent the rms velocity as measured by ISIS. The mean rms velocity and standard deviations of these lines are indicated by the horizontal lines. Note that two of the lines formed in the coolest plasma are significantly broader than the mean, but most of the lines have nonthermal line widths of a 250–450 km s$^{-1}$. Lines from hotter plasma are relatively narrow.
Lines from hotter plasma are relatively narrow

Lines from cooler plasma are broad

Fig. 9.—Line widths for the strongest lines in the Chandra spectra plotted against the temperature of peak line emissivity, taken from APED. The open circles represent the Doppler width as measured by SHERPA. The filled diamonds represent the rms velocity as measured by ISIS. The mean rms velocity and standard deviations of these lines are indicated by the horizontal lines. Note that two of the lines formed in the coolest plasma are significantly broader than the mean, but most of the lines have nonthermal line widths of a 250–450 km s$^{-1}$.
Fig. 9.—Line widths for the strongest lines in the *Chandra* spectra plotted against the temperature of peak line emissivity, taken from APED. The open circles represent the Doppler width as measured by SHERPA. The filled diamonds represent the rms velocity as measured by ISIS. The mean rms velocity and standard deviations of these lines are indicated by the horizontal lines. Note that two of the lines formed in the coolest plasma are significantly broader than the mean, but most of the lines have nonthermal line widths of a 250–450 km s$^{-1}$.
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Magnetically Channeled Wind Shock (MCWS) scenario – as shown by MHD simulations – looks very good

Can we apply this paradigm to other magnetic massive stars?
What characterizes X-ray emission from Magnetically Channeled Wind Shocks (MCWS) in O Stars?

High X-ray luminosity
Hard X-ray spectrum
Narrow X-ray lines

This should be true for all magnetic OB stars with large-scale fields, strong confinement, and significant wind mass-loss rates.
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τ Sco physical and magnetic properties

Bo V
young
slow rotator (41\textsuperscript{d} period)
$V_{\infty} = 1500$ km/s
Mdot $< 10^{-8} \, M_{\text{sun}}/\text{yr}$
O VI (Copernicus) shows redshifted absorption (!)

Strong B-field, not a dipole: more highly structured
The surprising magnetic topology of τ Sco: fossil remnant or dynamo output?

J.-F. Donati,1† I.D. Howarth,2† M. M. Jardine,3† P. Petit,1† C. Catala,4† J. D. Landstreet,5† J.-C. Bouret,6† E. Alecian,4† J. R. Barnes,3† T. Forveille,7† F. Paletou1† and N. Manset7†
Figure 2. Periodogram resulting from a double sine-wave fit to the longitudinal-field data of \( \tau \) Sco. Top panel: \( \chi^2_r \) as a function of the period of the main sine wave. A clear minimum is obtained for a period of about 41 d. Middle panel: temporal fluctuations of the longitudinal field of \( \tau \) Sco (full dots, with 1\( \sigma \) error bars) along with the model fit (full line) for the adopted period of 41.033 d, as a function of heliocentric Julian date (HJD). Bottom panel: as for the middle panel, but as a function of rotation phase, computed using the ephemeris of equation (1).
Figure 2. Periodogram resulting from a double sine-wave fit to the longitudinal-field data of τ Sco. Top panel: $\chi^2_p$ as a function of the period of the main sine wave. A clear minimum is obtained for a period of about 41 d. Middle panel: temporal fluctuations of the longitudinal field of τ Sco (full dots, with 1σ error bars) along with the model fit (full line) for the adopted period of 41.033 d, as a function of heliocentric Julian date (HJD). Bottom panel: as for the middle panel, but as a function of rotation phase, computed using the ephemeris of equation (1).
Figure 8. Maximum-entropy reconstructions of the magnetic topology of \( \tau \) Sco, assuming that the global field can be expressed as the sum of a potential field and a toroidal field. The three components of the field are displayed from top to bottom panel (flux values labelled in G). The top image (radial field component) is described through the set of complex coefficients \( \alpha_{r,m} \) (see Section 5). The star is shown in flattened polar projection down to latitudes of \(-30^\circ\), with the equator depicted as a bold circle and parallels as dashed circles. Radial ticks around each plot indicate phases of observations.
Figure 9. Azimuthal and meridional components of the reconstructed potential (left-hand column) and toroidal (right-hand column) field structures. Adding both yields the azimuthal and meridional field components shown in Fig. 8. The image on the left-hand side is described through the set of complex coefficients $\beta_{\ell,m}$, while that on the right-hand side is obtained through the coefficients $\gamma_{\ell,m}$ (see Section 5).
This model is not unique...and does it account for the effects of the wind realistically?

*Figure 11.* Closed magnetic field lines of the extended magnetic configuration of τ Sco, extrapolated from the photospheric map of Fig. 8. The star is shown at phases 0.25 (left-hand panel) and 0.83 (right-hand panel). Note the warp of the magnetic equator and the additional networks of closed loops around phase 0.65 (mostly visible on the right-hand side of the right-hand panel).
\( \tau \text{ Sco} \) X-ray properties

Higher X-ray luminosity

Harder spectrum than most OB stars; not as hard as \( \theta^1 \text{ Ori C} \), though

Lines resolved by \textit{Chandra}, but narrow
\( \tau \) Sco X-ray properties requiring more interpretation

Forbidden-to-intercombination line ratios in He-like ion states put hot plasma off of the photosphere \((r > 2R_{\text{star}})\)

There is no rotational modulation of the overall X-ray flux as would be expected if the X-rays arise in the closed magnetic loops shown in Donati et al. Fig. 11
High X-ray Luminosity

\[ L_x = 4.4 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \]

\[ \frac{L_x}{L_{\text{bol}}} = 10^{-6.5} \]

For a mass-loss rate of \( 10^{-8} \, M_{\text{sun}} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \), an emission measure filling factor approaching unity is required.

*Note*: Oskinova et al. (2011) find \( \dot{M} \sim 10^{-9} \, M_{\text{sun}} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \).
HIGH-RESOLUTION *CHANDRA* SPECTROSCOPY OF \( \tau \) SCORPII: A NARROW-LINE X-RAY SPECTRUM FROM A HOT STAR
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These lines arise in plasma with $T > 10^7$ K, and are not seen in normal O stars.
H-like/He-like ratio is temperature sensitive

θ¹ Ori C

Si XIV  Mg XII  Mg XI

Si XIII  ζ Pup
τ Sco: *Chandra* HETGS

**Medium Energy Grating (MEG)**

![Graph showing spectral lines](image)

- Si XIV, XIII
- Mg XII, XI
- Ne X, Ne IX
- Fe XVII
- O VIII
- Fe XVIII
- Fe XXIV

![Graph showing θ¹ Ori C](image)
τ Sco: Chandra HETGS

H-like/He-like ratio is temperature sensitive

Medium Energy Grating (MEG)
Differential emission measure
(temperature distribution)

\(\theta^1\) Ori C:
peak near 30 million K

\(\tau\) Sco:
peak near 10 million K

Non-magnetic O stars,
peak at 1 – 2 million K

Wojdowski & Schulz (2005)
X-ray emission lines are resolved, but narrower even than θ¹ Ori C

**Fig. 5.**—MEG +1 and −1 order observation of the neon Lyα line (histogram) with an intrinsically narrow model (convolved with the instrument response). The fit to the data shows a statistically significant line width.
Fig. 6.—Derived line widths (HWHM) for three strong lines in seven stars: two stars representative of coronal sources (Capella and AB Dor: open symbols connected by dotted lines), τ Sco (filled diamonds and solid line), and four O stars (filled symbols and dashed lines), which are presumably wind X-ray sources.
Stochastic X-ray variability
(not seen in normal O stars)

Fig. 3.—X-ray light curve formed from the combined MEG +1 and −1 order counts, with 1000 s bins. The mean count rate is indicated by the line. The hypothesis of a constant source can be rejected at a more than 99.99% confidence level.
A MULTIPHASE SUZAKU STUDY OF X-RAYS FROM τ Sco

R. Ignace¹, L. M. Oskinova², M. Jardine³, J. P. Cassinelli⁴, D. H. Cohen⁵, J.-F. Donati⁶, R. H. D. Townsend⁷, and A. ud-Doula⁷

Fig. 7.— Structure of magnetic field lines at rotation phases 0.17, 0.34, and 0.50 (top, left to right) and 0.67, 0.83, and 0.97 (bottom, left to right). Closed field lines are shown white, while open field lines are shown blue.
No rotational modulation of the X-rays

X-ray plasma is *not* in the closed loops in the Donati/Jardine model.

Fig. 2. — Total count rates from Table 1 plotted against rotational phase. The colored hashed region represents the rms error of the data, as described in the text.
The $f/i$ ratio is thus a diagnostic of the strength of the local UV radiation field.
\[ f/i = 0.36 \pm 0.06 \]

intercombination

forbidden
Fig. 9.—Calculation of the density and mean-intensity sensitivity of the $f/i$ line ratio for Mg xi. Note that the sensitivity to the mean intensity enters via the distance from the photosphere. The distance of the plasma from the photosphere is indicated for each model in units of the stellar radius. The range from the data is indicated by the shaded area.
f/i ratios indicate $r > 2R_*$

X-ray plasma is not in the closed loops in the Donati/Jardine model
What needs to be done

What field configuration is consistent with the wind properties?

Can magnetically confined wind shocks heat a sufficient amount of plasma to the observed temperatures, and at distances above the photosphere to accommodate the $f/i$ ratios and lack of rotational modulation?
HD 191612 physical and magnetic properties
Confirmation of the magnetic oblique rotator model for the Of?p star HD 191612

G.A. Wade1, I.D. Howarth2, R.H.D. Townsend3, J.H. Grunhut1,4, M. Shultz1,4, J.-C. Bouret5,6, A. Fullerton7, W. Marcolino8, F. Martin9, Y. Nazé10, A. ud Doula11, N.R. Walborn7, J.-F. Donati12 and the MiMeS Collaboration†

1Dept. of Physics, Royal Military College of Canada, PO Box 17060, Stn Forces, Kingston, Ontario K7K 7B4, Canada
2Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, UCL, Gower Place, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom
3Dept. of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 475 N Charter Street, Madison WI 53706-1522, USA
4Dept. of Physics, Engineering Physics and Astronomy, Queen's University, 99 University Avenue, Kingdon, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada
5LAM UMR 6110, CNRS & Université Provence, the Frédéric Joliot-Curie, F-13388 Marseille Cedex 13, France
6NASA/GSFC, Code 665, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
7Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
8Observatorio do Valongo, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
9GRAAL UMR 5024, CNRS & Université Montpellier II, Place Eugène Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier Cedex 05, France
10FNRS-Institut d’Astrophysique et de Géophysique, Université de Liège, Belgium
11Penn State University, 110 Ridge View Drive, Danvers, PA, USA 19512
12Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, 14 avenue Edouard Belin, F-31400, Toulouse, France

Accepted. Received. In original form

ABSTRACT
This paper reports high-precision Stokes V spectra of HD 191612 acquired using the ESPaDOnS spectropolarimeter at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope, in the context of the Magnetism in Massive stars (MiMeS) Project. Using measurements of the equivalent width of the Hα line and radial velocities of various metallic lines, we have updated both the spectroscopic and orbital ephemerides of this star. We confirm the presence of a strong magnetic field in the photosphere of HD 191612, and detect its variability. We establish that the longitudinal field varies in a manner consistent with the spectroscopic period of 537.6 d, in an approximately sinusoidal fashion. The phases of minimum and maximum longitudinal field are respectively coincident with the phases of maximum and minimum Hα equivalent width and Hγ magnitude. This demonstrates a firm connection between the magnetic field and the processes responsible for the line and continuum variability. Interpreting the variation of the longitudinal magnetic field within the context of the dipole oblique rotator model, and adopting an inclination ι = 30° obtained assuming alignment of the orbital and rotational angular momenta, we obtain a best-fit surface magnetic field model with obliquity β = 67 ± 5° and polar strength BP = 2450 ± 400 G. The inferred magnetic field strength implies an equatorial wind magnetic confinement parameter βs = 50, supporting a picture in which the Hα emission and photospheric variability have their origin in an oblique, rigidly rotating magnetospheric structure resulting from a magnetically channeled wind. This interpretation is supported by our successful Monte Carlo radiative transfer modeling of the photospheric variation, which assumes the enhanced plasma densities in the magnetic equatorial plane above the star implied by such a picture, according to a geometry that is consistent with that derived from the magnetic field. Predictions of the continuum linear polarisation resulting from Thomson scattering from the magnetospheric material indicate that the Stokes Q and U variations are highly sensitive to the magnetospheric geometry, and that expected amplitudes are in the range of current instrumentation.

Key words: Stars : rotation – Stars: massive – Instrumentation : spectropolarimetry.
Stellar and field properties very similar to $\theta^1$ Ori C

MHD MCWS simulations reproduce rotationally modulated H-alpha quite well (ud-Doula, Sundqvist)

*To what extent is this star’s magnetosphere like $\theta^1$ Ori C’s?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Summary of stellar, wind, magnetic and magnetospheric properties of HD 191612.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectral type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{eff}}$ (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log $g$ (cgs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_\ast$ ($R_\odot$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v \sin i$ (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log($L_\ast/L_\odot$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_\ast$ ($M_\odot$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log $\dot{M}$ ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_\infty$ (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_d$ (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_\ast$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{spin}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HD 191612 X-ray properties

Spectrum is somewhat harder than typical O stars, but not nearly as hard as θ¹ Ori C’s

X-ray emission lines are broad, like ζ Pup’s – highly incompatible with confined plasma
Towards an understanding of the Of?p star HD 191612: phase-resolved multiwavelength observations*

Yaël Nazé,†‡ Gregor Rauw,§ A. M. T. Pollock, Nolan R. Walborn, and Ian D. Howarth
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∥ Space Telescope Science Institute,¶ 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
¶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT
HD 191612: few million K

Differential emission measures from X-ray spectroscopy

$\theta^1$ Ori C: 30 million K
X-ray plasma has a generally **low** temperature (with a bit of higher temperature plasma, too)
Figure 1. The phases of the *XMM–Newton* observations (between 2005 April and October) compared to the EW curve of the Hα line. Negative and positive EWs correspond to emission and absorption lines, respectively. The open circles indicate Aurélie data, filled symbols refer to Elodie observations, and crosses indicate other optical data (see Howarth et al., in preparation).
Rotational modulation? Same sense as $\theta^1$ Ori C

Figure 2. Variations with phase of the count rate in the 0.4–10.0 keV band (top panel) and of two hardness ratios (middle and bottom panels). Open symbols (triangles and squares) refer to EPIC MOS data, whereas filled circles represent EPIC pn observations. The hardness ratio $HR_1$ (respectively, $HR_2$) is defined as $(M - S)/(M + S)$ (respectively, $(H - M)/(H + M)$), where $S$ is the count rate in the 0.4–1.0 keV band, $M$ in 1–2 keV and $H$ in 2–10 keV.
X-ray overluminous by a factor of ~5

Figure 4. Diagram showing the X-ray luminosity (in erg s\(^{-1}\)) versus bolometric luminosity (in erg s\(^{-1}\)). The dashed line indicates the typical relation for O stars (from Sana et al. 2006); HD 108, HD 191612 and \(\theta^1\) Ori C all lie above it. Asterisks show the position of hot stars in NGC 6231 (Sana et al. 2006) with three outliers: the two objects lying above the line are CW binaries whereas the one lying below is a Wolf–Rayet binary.
X-ray line widths ~2000 km/s (FWHM)
Puzzles

X-ray plasma temperature is low (shocks are weak)

X-ray emission lines are broad (not consistent with magnetic confinement)

*But*...$L_x$ is high, & some evidence of rotational modulation
What needs to be done

Could the X-rays be primarily from EWS?

Could dynamical nature of MCWS plasma lead to broad lines (infall, outflow?)
Conclusions

X-ray properties of magnetic massive stars are diverse

X-ray properties within MCWS paradigm depend on field structure and wind properties (τ Sco)

Even where stellar and magnetic properties are similar to well-understood MCWS sources, X-ray emission is not the same (HD 191612)