Photoelectric absorption as an x-ray spectral diagnostic of massive star winds

Erin M. Martell,[1] Emma E. Wollman,[1] David H. Cohen,[1] Marc Gagné,[2] and Maurice A. Leutenegger[3]

1: Swarthmore College; 2: West Chester University
3: NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center

Massive star winds

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce eget sapien. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Maecenas sagittis quam eget nisi. Aliquam ac sem id quam commodo gravida. Nullam adipiscing sapien a ipsum. Mauris condimentum tellus a mauris. Cras ac turpis. Donec tempor, sapien eget aliquam eleifend, mi quam blandit nulla, at facilisis dolor sapien vel augue. Nulla tellus ante, varius at, lobortis sit amet, sodales id, dolor

X-ray production

Instabilities lead to shock-heating of the wind. These shocked regions, which make up a small fraction of the total wind mass, reach temperatures between 10^6 and 10^7 K. In the simulation shown to the left, shocks start developing about half a stellar radius above the surface of the star.

Theoretical predictions

1. In the shock regions, highly ionized atoms produce x-ray thermal emission lines.

2. Doppler broadening due to production in the fast-moving wind results in broad lines.

3. The cool material in the wind absorbs x rays. We should find evidence of this absorption in both the overall spectrum and in the shape of individual line profiles


Atomic opacity

What should I put here?.

Chandra spectra of massive stars

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce eget sapien. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Maecenas sagittis quam eget nisi. Aliquam ac sem id quam commodo gravida. Nullam adipiscing sapien a ipsum. Mauris condimentum tellus a mauris. Cras ac turpis. Donec tempor, sapien eget aliquam eleifend, mi quam blandit nulla, at facilisis dolor sapien vel augue. Nulla tellus ante, varius at, lobortis sit amet, sodales id, dolor.

Spectral-type trends: line ratios

Proin leo metus, semper in, ultrices at, pellentesque id, turpis. Pellentesque tristique magna id mi. Nulla ultrices varius turpis. Maecenas hendrerit purus nec diam ornare fermentum. Mauris magna. Aenean mollis, mauris et ullamcorper dignissim, dui lacus mattis mi, at rhoncus urna enim sed nisi. Sed iaculis. Fusce aliquam ornare ante. Nulla nisi felis, tristique at, accumsan eget, rhoncus ac, ipsum. Maecenas vitae purus eget orci porta vehicula. Morbi tincidunt varius nibh.

Wind geometry

The shape of the individual x-ray emission lines is also governed by absorption. The diagram to the right shows contours of constant radial velocity as seen by an observer to the left. As shown in th diagram, x-rays emitted from the red-shifte side of the wind must pass through more material than blue photons emitted from the front of the wind. Red photons are thus preferentially absorbed, leading to asymmetrical lines.

Line asymmetry

In our model of the line profile shape, the asym metry is parameterized by τ_*, the fiducial optical depth. τ_* is defined as $\kappa \dot{M} / 4 \pi R_* v_\infty$, where κ is the opacity, R_* is the stellar radius, and v_∞ is the terminal velocity. Plotted to the right are four un-normalized models with different values of τ_*. The blue line has the highest τ_* value and the orange line the lowest.

Fitting the data

To the left, the Fe XVII line at 15.014 angstroms is shown with two models. The dark blue model represents the best fit and yields a τ_* value of 1.94. The fit also gives us the radius of x-ray emission onset, which is 0.55 stellar radii above the star's surface for this line. The light blue model represents a fit with a fixed τ_* value of 5.30 dictated by the mass-loss rate obtained from H-alpha diagnostics. The best-fit curve is clearly less shifted than predicted by the H-alpha mass-loss rate, indicating a lower mass-loss rate.

Mass-loss rate determination from global fit

The same mass-loss rate reduction can be seen when τ_* values are plotted for all lines. As we know the terminal velocity, stellar radius, and have a model of the opacity, we can fit the mass-loss rate to the data. The solid curve shows the best-fit mass-loss rate of 3.5e-6 solar masses per year and the dashed curve shows the literature mass-loss rate of 8.3e-6 solar masses per year. We see that the H-alpha mass-loss rate is inconsistent with the data and that a lower mass-loss rate is preferred. In other stars, the best fit mass-loss rates are up to an order of magnitude lower than values obtained from other diagnostics.